Hide'n Seek Revisited - Full Stealth Is Back

Kimmo Kasslin / 6th October 2005





Kimmo Kasslin

- Email: kimmo.kasslin@f-secure.com
- Researcher in F-Secure Security Labs
- Research is focused in the area of Windows rootkits and modern stealth malware
- Member of the team responsible for F-Secure BlackLight technology





- Windows Rootkits
- **Stealth Malware**
- **Hiding Techniques**
- Hidden Object Detection
- **Anti-Detection Techniques**
- Future Challenges
- Conclusions



Allow intruders to maintain access to the system

Operate in user mode or in kernel mode

Try to avoid detection by hiding e.g.

- Processes
- Files
- Registry keys
- Network connections



In the era of DOS, stealth viruses were common

- 1986 Brain
- 1990 Frodo

They started to disappear when Windows 95 became the dominant OS

Since then, their numbers remained low

• 1997 – Cabanas, first Windows NT virus

Stealth Malware - Present



Today, we are seeing increasing numbers of stealth malware



Source: Monastyrsky A.; Sapronov K.; Mashevsky Y. (2005). Kaspersky Lab

Hiding Techniques – Execution Path





F-SECURE[®] BE SURE.

Objects can be hidden through several means

- Inline hooking
- Import Address Table hooking
- Export Address Table hooking
- System Service Table hooking
- Interrupt Table hooking
- I/O Request Packet hooking
- Filter drivers
- Kernel object manipulation



One of the most popular rootkits in the wild

- User-mode rootkit
- Feature rich
- Very stable and portable
- Under active development

Modifies the execution path of several Native and Windows API functions

• Inline hooking through direct memory patching

Inline Hooking à la Detours



F-SEGURI





Installs user-mode hooks into every process

- WriteProcessMemory API function
- Requires debug privileges

New processes and dynamically loaded DLLs are patched through special hooks

- Ntdll!NtResumeThread of parent process
- Ntdll!LdrInitializeThunk of child process
- Ntdll!LdrLoadDll of child process

Hacker Defender – Hook Installation







One possible approach – "Cross-View Diff"

- Tainted view
- Trusted view

Challenges with this approach

- Collecting data for the trusted view
- Today, also collecting data for the tainted view
- **F-Secure BlackLight**
 - Stand-alone beta was released in March 2005
 - Integrated into F-Secure Internet Security 2006



Successful detection requires that there is a difference between the two views

If the detector process can be identified by the rootkit, do not hide from it

- Filename
- Version information in image resources

Other approach is to only hide data from processes normally used by users

• Explorer, Task Manager, Process Explorer







Identifies detectors through binary signatures

• Our sample contains around 40 signatures

The signature is checked against the memory resident image when the first hook is executed

• Detection possible even if the binary is packed

If a match is found, a bit mask is set that defines which hooks will be disabled

In addition, modifies code in some images

• Defeats most of current anti-anti-detection measures







Rootkits that do not need processes, files or registry keys

ByShell

Rootkits that hide themselves even from kernelmode memory scanning

Shadow Walker

With kernel-mode rootkits only the imagination and skills of the developer are the limits



Stealth malware is back and kicking

- Hiding is based on rootkit techniques
- The most advanced techniques are still quite rare

Generic rootkit detection is feasible

- Cross-view diff based detectors can find majority of present stealth malware
- False positives are rare

Rootkits are evolving rapidly and will find ways to bypass detectors

• Direct attacks against the detectors

THANK YOU – QUESTIONSP

kimmo.kasslin@f-secure.com

